

## United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge			James I	3. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge					
CASE NUMBER			78 (	C 342	DATE	12/30/	/2004			
CASE TITLE			ELAINE CHAO vs. ESTATE OF FRANK FITZSIMMONS							
MO	TION:	•	[In the following box (a of the motion being pro		e motion, e.g., plaintiff, defe	ndant, 3rd party plaintiff, and	(b) state briefly the nature			
	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER									
DOC	CKET ENTR	Y:								
(1)		Filed motion of [ use listing in "Motion" box above.]								
(2)		Brief in support of motion due								
(3)		Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due								
(4)		Ruling/Hearing on set for at								
(5)		Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at								
(6)		Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at								
(7)		Trial[set for/re-set for] on at								
(8)	<u> </u>	[Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at								
(9)		This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]  ☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ Local Rule 41.1 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).								
(10)	(10)									
(11)	. <b>■</b> r	For fi	urther detail see orde	er attached to the original	inal minute order.l					
(-2)	<del></del>		dvised in open court.				Document			
	No notices required.					number of notices	Number			
Notices mailed by judge's staff.			ge's staff.			JAN 03 2005				
Notified counsel by te		_			date docketed	703				
Docketing to mail notice  Mail AO 450 form.			ices.			GM				
Copy to judge/magisti		ate judge.	14060 {	antola .s.u	docketing deputy initials					
LG		_	courtroom deputy's		2984 DEC 330 H	date mailed notice				
			initials	Data/time	received in	1				

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ELAINE CHAO,		
Plaintiff,		
vs. )	No. 78 C 342	
ESTATE OF FRANK FITZSIMMONS, )		DOCKETED
et al.,		JAN 0 3 2005
Defendants.		

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER**

The proposed redactions 1, 2, 6, 14, 15 and 16, and specified portions of proposed redactions 9, 12 and 13, are approved as they deal with privileged matters, opinions of the ISC, the status of negotiations or matters irrelevant to CSPF. The proposed redactions 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16, 17 and 18, and the proposed redactions of the other portions of proposed redactions 9, 12 and 13, are not approved.

The CSPF shall disclose the redacted documents and shall file, under seal, copies of the contested documents unredacted by January 7, 2005.

JAMES B. MORAN
or Judge, U. S. District Court

<u>Dac, 30</u>, 2004.

793